Why is this important?

Cardiac stress tests and imaging are used in people with symptoms suggestive of coronary heart disease for accurate diagnosis, risk assessment and treatment planning (for example, treatment with revascularisation by stenting or bypass surgery). Equitable access to cardiac imaging is important for improving cardiac care and outcomes in people at high risk of coronary artery disease in Australia.

Appropriate use of these tests is also important for the sustainability of the health system, as they account for a substantial portion of the health budget. Use of cardiac imaging has grown at about twice the rate of treatment with revascularisation – suggesting that some testing is unnecessary and that healthcare resources could be better used.

Rates of use of stress echocardiography have risen rapidly in recent years, with variation in use across Australia. There are concerns about both overservicing in some areas and underservicing in others.

What did we find?

Rates of cardiac stress tests and imaging vary up to about 10-fold across local areas, and are higher in major cities than in other areas.

What can be done?

Clinical decision support systems could guide the appropriate choice and frequency of cardiac stress tests and imaging, especially if they were incorporated into primary health practice software to ensure that the most appropriate tests are ordered. Medicare Benefits Schedule (MBS) financial reimbursement should reflect evidence-based best practice. Regular review of MBS claims for reimbursement could ensure that they meet the identified criteria.

Given the burden of disease in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians, collecting accurate MBS data on services to these groups would be valuable for gaining further understanding of where improvements are most needed.

Context

This item examines use of the following cardiac tests:

- Stress electrocardiogram (ECG), also known as an exercise ECG – an ECG performed while the patient exercises, usually on a treadmill
- Stress echocardiogram an ultrasound of the heart, before and after exercise
- Myocardial perfusion scan (MPS) radionuclide imaging of cardiac perfusion and function, with and without exercise
- Computed tomography of coronary arteries (CTCA) – a CT scan showing cardiac blood vessels.

An exercise ECG is not an imaging test; the other three tests listed above are imaging tests. The first three tests listed above are stress tests, while CTCA is an alternative to a stress test. Diagnostic imaging tests need to be provided in accordance with the item descriptors in the Health Insurance (Diagnostic Imaging Services Table) Regulations 2018.¹

Stress echocardiogram use and MPS use are also examined in this Atlas as separate items. See Section 4.2 'Stress echocardiogram, 18 years and over' (page 201) and Section 4.3 'Myocardial perfusion scans, 18 years and over' (page 211). Stress ECG use and CTCA use are examined in this combined item only.

Stress tests show how well the heart can respond to an external stress such as exercise or specific medicines. These tests are used to investigate new or worsening symptoms in patients with known or suspected coronary heart disease.² Other indications for these tests include heart failure, cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease and assessment several years after revascularisation (for example, by stenting or bypass surgery).² The choice of test is determined by the symptoms and clinical condition of the patient, although other factors such as local availability and cost to the patient will also influence test choice.²

Coronary heart disease is very common, and affects 5% of Australian men and 2% of Australian women.³⁻⁴ Although both the fatal and non-fatal burden due to coronary heart disease have fallen substantially

in Australia in recent times (by 35% and 21%, respectively, from 2003 to 2011), it remains the leading cause of burden of disease in this country.⁴ Disease burden from coronary heart disease increases with socioeconomic disadvantage, and is higher in regional and remote areas of Australia than in major cities.⁴

Cardiovascular disease deaths are the greatest contributor to the mortality gap between Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians and other Australians.⁵ In 2011–2015, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians died from preventable and avoidable cardiovascular disease at 4.2 times the rate of other Australians (83.1 and 19.9 per 100,000, respectively).⁶ In 2015–16, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians were hospitalised for cardiovascular disease at a rate 1.7 times as high as the rate for other Australians, and would be expected to have a greater need for cardiac tests.^{3,5,6}

Trends in use

As in many other countries, the use of cardiac imaging has grown rapidly in Australia since the early 2000s.⁷⁻⁹ It is difficult to measure how much this greater use of cardiac imaging has improved cardiac outcomes, but the rate of cardiac imaging in Australia grew at about twice the rate of treatment with revascularisation between 2005–06 and 2014–15.^{8,10} Between 2005–06 and 2014–15, the average growth per year for cardiac stress tests and imaging was¹⁰:

- Exercise ECG 4%
- Stress echocardiogram 14%
- MPS 1%.

CTCA was introduced in Australia more recently than the other tests; the number of these tests grew by an average of 22% per year between 2011–12 and 2014–15.¹⁰

Overall, use of coronary artery disease diagnostics in Australia grew by an average of 6% per year from 2005–06 to 2014–15. Population ageing and growth each account for a 1–2% increase during this period.¹⁰ Other potential contributors to the rise in cardiac testing include increased numbers of cardiologists, an increase in patient demand due to information on the internet, inappropriate use of testing, and more inexperienced operators performing tests (which may lead to increased repetition of tests because a previous test was of low quality).^{9,11} National figures showing the change in the number of cardiologists between 2005 and 2015 are not available, but the number of cardiologists registered in Australia increased by 273 (from 1,152 to 1,425) between October 2013 and June 2018.¹²

International comparisons of cardiac imaging rates are limited by differences in data collection methods.

Appropriate use of cardiac tests

Cardiac stress tests are used to investigate symptoms of coronary heart disease; the results are used to determine the patient's diagnosis, risk level and appropriate interventions.² Cardiac stress tests are generally performed by cardiologists; however, they may also be performed by general physicians.

Cardiac stress tests are rarely appropriate for screening for coronary heart disease in patients without symptoms.² In some cases, cardiac stress tests are used to plan management in people without symptoms if they have high absolute cardiovascular risk based on multiple risk factors such as age, blood pressure and cholesterol level.² Absolute cardiovascular risk is the risk of having a cardiovascular event, such as myocardial infarction (heart attack), in the next five years.¹³

For many low-risk patients with symptoms of coronary heart disease, an exercise ECG gives enough clinical information to plan management and is less costly than stress echocardiography, MPS and CTCA.^{2,10,14} Stress echocardiography or MPS is recommended for low- and high-risk patients who have symptoms but are not suitable candidates for an exercise ECG – for example, if they cannot exercise or have an uninterpretable ECG.^{2,10} Interpreting results of stress echocardiography can be difficult in morbidly obese patients, and other tests (for example, MPS with positron emission scanning) may be better alternatives.² Stress imaging is also recommended for higher-risk patients with symptoms.¹⁰

For most patients with suspected coronary artery disease, stress echocardiography and MPS give equivalent information. If locally available, stress echocardiography should generally be preferred as it does not involve radiation exposure and is less costly for the health system.^{2,10} While an MPS is generally considered safe, the associated dose of radiation is approximately that of 150 chest X-rays.¹⁰

CTCA is a relatively new test. Currently in Australia, to qualify for an MBS rebate, CTCA can only be requested by a specialist or consultant physician.^{1,10} CTCA may be appropriate for higher-risk patients with symptoms, and is valuable for ruling out disease with a high degree of confidence. However, because of its high cost, it should not be used in low-risk patients.^{10,15,16}

Access to cardiac tests

Despite the universal healthcare system in Australia, there are geographic and financial barriers to accessing cardiac tests for some population groups. Availability of some of these cardiac tests is limited outside metropolitan areas and larger regional centres.¹⁷ It may not be financially viable for private radiology practices to provide services to areas with small populations, and a public hospital may become the default provider of radiology services.¹⁸ If a public diagnostic imaging service is not available locally, patients may need to travel further to access a public service or pay an out-of-pocket cost for a private service.¹⁸ The average out-of-pocket cost for diagnostic imaging was \$94 per service in 2009–10, but costs increased as remoteness increased.¹⁹

About the data

Data are sourced from the MBS dataset. This dataset includes information on MBS claims processed by the Australian Government Department of Human Services. It covers a wide range of services (attendances, procedures, tests) provided across primary care and hospital settings.

The dataset does not include:

- Services for publicly funded patients in hospitals
- Services for patients in hospital outpatient clinics where claims are not made to the MBS
- Services covered under Department of Veterans' Affairs arrangements.

Rates are based on the number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over in 2016–17.

Because an MBS claim is included for each service rather than for each patient, patients who receive any of the services listed in this data item more than once in the financial year will have more than one MBS claim counted.

The analysis and maps are based on the residential address of the patient recorded in the MBS claim and not the location of the service.

Rates are age and sex standardised to allow comparisons between populations with different age and sex structures.

This analysis was not undertaken by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status because this information was not available for the MBS data at the time of publication.

What do the data show?

Magnitude of variation

In 2016–17, there were 933,727 MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging, representing 4,575 services per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over (the Australian rate).

The number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging across 329* local areas

(Statistical Area Level 3 – SA3) ranged from 1,184 to 11,568 per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over. The rate was **9.8 times as high** in the area with the highest rate compared to the area with the lowest rate. The number of services varied across states and territories, from 2,289 per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over in Tasmania to 6,673 in New South Wales (Figures 4.4–4.7).

After the highest and lowest 10% of results were excluded and 264 SA3s remained, the number of services per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over was 3.8 times as high in the area with the highest rate compared to the area with the lowest rate.

Analysis by remoteness and socioeconomic status

Rates of cardiac stress tests and imaging were higher in major cities than in other areas. There was no clear pattern according to socioeconomic status (Figure 4.8).

Analysis by cardiac test type

Nationally, 53% of cardiac stress tests and imaging were exercise ECGs, 33% were stress echocardiograms, 8% were MPS and 6% were CTCA. The proportions for each test were similar across all states and territories (Figure 4.1).

Analysis by referrer type

Nationally, 64% of cardiac stress tests and imaging were requested by general practitioners (GPs), 26% of tests were requested by cardiologists and 10% were requested by other health professionals. The proportion of tests requested by GPs varied from 42% in South Australia to 72% in New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. The proportion of tests requested by cardiologists varied from 19% in New South Wales to 47% in South Australia (Figure 4.2).

* There are 340 SA3s. For this item, data were suppressed for 11 SA3s due to one or more of a small number of services or population in an area, or potential identification of individual patients, practitioners or business entities. **Notes:**

Some of the published SA3 rates were considered more volatile than others. These rates are excluded from the calculation of the difference between the highest and lowest SA3 rates in Australia.

For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement.

Figure 4.1: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by state and territory of patient residence, by cardiac test type, 2016–17



The data for Figure 4.1 are available at www.safetyandquality.gov.au/atlas

Figure 4.2: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by state and territory of patient residence, by referrer type, 2016–17



The data for Figure 4.2 are available at www.safetyandquality.gov.au/atlas

Notes:

Speciality of referrer was derived for some records for which this information was unknown.

For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement.

Analysis by age group

Rates of cardiac stress tests and imaging were highest in the 45–79 years age group. The national rate in this age group was 8,497 per 100,000 people and varied from 4,255 per 100,000 people in Tasmania to 12,466 per 100,000 people in New South Wales.

The national rate for tests performed on the 80 years and over age group was slightly lower at 7,744 per 100,000 people. The rate varied from 3,447 per 100,000 people in Tasmania to 12,211 per 100,000 people in New South Wales (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.3: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people by age group, age and sex standardised, by state and territory of patient residence, 2016–17



The data for Figure 4.3 are available at www.safetyandquality.gov.au/atlas

Interpretation

Rates of MBS-subsidised cardiac stress tests and imaging, and echocardiography varied markedly between states and territories. Variation in combined rates of use of these cardiac tests is likely to be due to geographical differences in the factors discussed below.

Rates of underlying disease

Variation is warranted and desirable when it reflects variation in the underlying need for care. Groups with higher rates of cardiovascular disease have greater need for cardiac tests, which should influence the variation in rates of use of these tests. Hospitalisations associated with cardiovascular disease increase with remoteness and with socioeconomic disadvantage, and are higher among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians than among other Australians.³

Data analysis for this data item indicates that rates of MBS-subsidised cardiac stress tests and imaging were higher in major cities than in other remoteness categories. This suggests that people in inner and outer regional, and remote areas may be missing out on appropriate cardiac stress tests and imaging.

Access to services

Variation in cardiac testing rates is likely to reflect differences in geographical and financial access to services, both for referral and for performance of the tests.⁸ Differences in the relative availability of private and public cardiology services in an area will influence the rates of MBS-subsidised cardiac stress tests and imaging requested. Diagnostic imaging services are concentrated in major cities, and out-of-pocket costs increase as remoteness increases.¹⁹ Bulk-billing is common in some socioeconomically disadvantaged areas of major cities, so out-of-pocket costs will not be a barrier where this is the case.

Notes:

For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement. Sources: AIHW analysis of Medicare Benefits Schedule data and ABS Estimated Resident Population 30 June 2016.

Clinical decision-making

Clinicians' differing thresholds for referral for cardiac testing are likely to affect patterns of variation in the use of cardiac tests – for example, in rates of testing asymptomatic patients.¹⁰ Differences in practice regarding regularity of reviews and intervals for repeat testing are also likely to influence variation, both within and between states and territories. Patient demand may also influence clinical decision-making.¹⁰

Availability of previous test results

The extent of repeat testing on patients will also be related to the ease with which clinicians can access previous test findings or their perceptions about the quality of previously performed tests. Geographic differences in either of these factors will contribute to the variation observed in patterns of cardiac testing.

Cultural factors and access to culturally appropriate healthcare services are also likely to influence access to cardiac testing for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians. Examples include conflicting cultural priorities and gender role responsibilities (such as enculturation of males to be 'strong' and to not express pain, and the female's prioritisation of family needs over self), and perceived discrimination within the health system.²⁰

Funding models

The data for this item exclude services that are free of charge to public patients in hospitals, such as cardiac tests done for public patients in public hospital outpatient clinics or emergency departments. This means that the funding models of cardiac test services available in an area, and the relative accessibility of services to patients, may influence the variation seen. For example, the rates of cardiac testing seen in the Northern Territory and remote Western Australia may be low because a higher proportion of tests in these areas is done for public patients in hospital outpatient clinics (which are not counted in this data item). In contrast, the rates in New South Wales may be high because there are many locations in New South Wales where services and investigations undertaken in public hospital

outpatient clinics are claimed through the MBS under specialist medical practitioner rights of private practice arrangements.

Proportions of individual tests

Variation in the proportions of individual cardiac tests used is likely to be due to geographical differences in the availability of the expertise required for different tests. For example, exercise ECG and stress echocardiography require different specialist expertise from MPS. Different patterns of availability of radiology and cardiology services will affect the proportions of individual tests undertaken in different areas. The local availability of sonographers will also influence the rate of stress echocardiography.

An MPS has a lower out-of-pocket cost for the patient than a stress echocardiogram. This may encourage referrers to request an MPS, even if a stress echocardiogram is appropriate, and this may be more common in socioeconomically disadvantaged areas. More than 95% of MPS studies are bulk-billed, compared with 70% of stress echocardiograms.¹⁰

Some patient characteristics and comorbidities, such as obesity, make exercise ECG unsuitable, and other cardiac tests need to be substituted.²¹ Lower rates of exercise ECG and higher rates of the other cardiac tests in some areas could be partly due to variation in the proportion of patients who are not suitable candidates for exercise ECG.

Addressing variation

Variation that is unrelated to patient need or preference is referred to as unwarranted variation. Addressing variation in underlying disease burden and reducing the burden of disease overall would require strategies to address modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular conditions. These risk factors include smoking, obesity, alcohol use, physical inactivity, high blood pressure and poor diet.⁴ A substantial proportion of cardiovascular events and burden of disease could be prevented by addressing modifiable risk factors from an early age through to adulthood, at both an individual and a population level.²²⁻²⁴

Approaches to reducing unwarranted variation and improving appropriateness of care for cardiac testing are discussed below.

Improving equity of access

Equity issues related to out-of-pocket costs for diagnostic imaging and geographic distribution of imaging services were examined in a recent Senate inquiry into availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia.²⁵ Several strategies to increase access have been proposed, including increasing remuneration and providing equipment subsidies for providers of imaging in regional and remote areas to compensate for the extra costs of delivering services in these settings.^{17,18}

Diagnostic imaging equipment and workforce are concentrated in larger cities in Australia.^{25,26} There is a national shortage of sonographers, who carry out ultrasound examinations including stress and standard echocardiography.²⁷ While this problem affects all parts of Australia, it is more pronounced in rural and remote areas.²⁸ The scarcity of clinical training places for sonography graduates contributes to this shortage.²⁵ One of the barriers for private and public radiology services providing clinical placement training for sonography graduates is the cost involved. A subsidy to encourage services to take on trainees has been suggested.²⁹

Training nurses and nurse practitioners to perform sonography in metropolitan and rural areas has also been recommended as a way to improve access to ultrasound.²⁵ Cardiac technologists could also be trained to perform sonography. Increasing support for tele-radiology services²⁵ and innovative service delivery models such as mobile cardiac investigation services could also improve access to diagnostic imaging in rural and remote areas.²⁵

Overcoming cultural barriers that prevent Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians accessing health services will require a culturally respectful and non-discriminatory health system.³⁰

Improving appropriate use of tests

A rapid increase in the use of cardiac imaging in recent times has prompted debate about appropriate and sustainable use in many countries.^{7,8} Appropriate use of tests in patients with symptoms or diagnosed disease has also been a topic of international concern. The American College of Cardiology and others have published appropriate use criteria for cardiac imaging, including MPS (2005), CTCA (2006), stress echocardiography (2008) and multi-modality imaging (2014).^{14,31-33} The European Society of Cardiology and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging have also recognised a need for appropriateness criteria for use of cardiac imaging, and have published criteria for use of imaging in heart failure.^{7,34}

The United States Preventive Services Task Force released a recommendation statement in 2018 recommending against using resting or exercise electrocardiogram for screening in asymptomatic adults at low risk of cardiovascular events.³⁵

A lack of alignment between United States appropriate use criteria and guidelines for standard echocardiography used by Australian clinicians has been noted.³⁶ Greater consistency between guidelines and appropriate use criteria would increase their usefulness if they were to be introduced in Australia.³⁶

Use of cardiac stress tests and imaging to screen asymptomatic patients is not recommended in Australia. The Heart Foundation and the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners recommend conducting an absolute cardiovascular disease risk assessment every two years on adults aged 45 years and over who are not known to have cardiovascular disease or who are not clinically determined to be at high risk. The absolute cardiovascular disease risk assessment combines several risk factors to calculate the probability of a person having a cardiovascular event such as a heart attack or a stroke in the next five years. People deemed to have a low absolute cardiovascular risk (<10% absolute five-year cardiovascular risk) do not require a cardiac test.37,38

The Australian Choosing Wisely program reinforces this message. Choosing Wisely recommendations from the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners include 'Don't screen asymptomatic, low-risk patients (<10% absolute five-year cardiovascular risk) using ECG, stress test, coronary artery calcium score or carotid artery ultrasound'.^{13,39} Choosing Wisely recommendations from the Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists recommend against routinely requesting cardiac stress testing for asymptomatic patients before lowto intermediate-risk non-cardiac surgery.⁴⁰

Clinical decision support material

Developing clinical decision support material to guide the choice and frequency of cardiac stress tests and imaging, and incorporating these decision aids into primary care practice software, would assist clinicians to choose the most appropriate cardiac stress test and improve appropriateness of care. Cardiovascular clinical decision support materials could be developed and made available alongside other decision support resources for primary healthcare practitioners.

As noted, this MBS item reports cardiac stress tests and imaging services but does not report on patients who receive a service more than once in the financial year – either the same type of cardiac test or any of the other tests listed in the data item. Reducing clinically unnecessary repeat testing is one way to reduce over-testing. Wider use of the My Health Record system should help reduce duplicate testing by ensuring that results of previous tests are available at the point of care.⁴¹

MBS item descriptions

Rebates are provided to patients for private cardiac stress tests and imaging through the MBS. The Cardiac Services Clinical Committee, under the auspices of the MBS Review Taskforce, has undertaken an extensive review of current MBS items in cardiology. The committee has made a number of recommendations to the Australian Government with the overarching themes of:

- Aligning MBS services with elements of evidencebased practice guidelines, where possible
- Ongoing review of items to ensure currency
- Ensuring that appropriate indications for use of services are clearly identified
- Developing systems for regular review and audit to ensure that claims for reimbursement meet the identified criteria.

This approach – ensuring that financial reimbursement of services is structured in a way that encourages appropriate care that is in line with the best available evidence – would benefit patients by improving the care they receive, and would benefit the community by allocating resources to services that are of high value.

Other approaches

Other approaches to improving appropriateness of cardiac testing include structured request forms to prompt the most appropriate test to be selected, teaching medical students about the implications of overuse, and using decision support tools with patients during a discussion with their clinician about their symptoms and risk factors and their level of risk of having a cardiac event within five years.^{10,42,43} In the emergency department setting, a decision aid for use with low-risk patients with chest pain resulted in a 19% lower rate of admission for cardiac stress testing compared with usual care, with no increase in adverse events.⁴² The support of senior staff and clinicians is likely to be an important factor in promoting evidence-based best practice.

Rates by local area

Figure 4.4: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) of patient residence, 2016–17



Notes:

Hollow circles (a) and asterisks (*) indicate rates that are considered more volatile than other published rates and should be interpreted with caution. Triangles (a) indicate SA3s where only rates are published. The numbers of services are not published for confidentiality reasons.

For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement. Sources: AIHW analysis of Medicare Benefits Schedule data and ABS Estimated Resident Population 30 June 2016.

Rates across Australia

Figure 4.5: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) of patient residence, 2016–17



Notes:

Dotted areas indicate rates that are considered more volatile than other published rates and should be interpreted with caution. These rates are excluded from the calculation of the difference between the highest and lowest SA3 rates in Australia.

For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement.

Rates across capital city areas

Figure 4.6: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) of patient residence, 2016–17



Notes:

Dotted areas indicate rates that are considered more volatile than other published rates and should be interpreted with caution. For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement. **Sources:** AlHW analysis of Medicare Benefits Schedule data and ABS Estimated Resident Population 30 June 2016.

Rates by state and territory

Figure 4.7: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) of patient residence, 2016–17



Notes:

Hollow circles (a) and asterisks (*) indicate rates that are considered more volatile than other published rates and should be interpreted with caution. Triangles (a) indicate SA3s where only rates are published. The numbers of services are not published for confidentiality reasons. For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement.

Rates by remoteness and socioeconomic status

Figure 4.8: Number of MBS-subsidised services for cardiac stress tests and imaging per 100,000 people aged 18 years and over, age and sex standardised, by Statistical Area Level 3 (SA3) of patient residence, 2016–17



Notes:

Hollow circles (o) indicate rates that are considered more volatile than other published rates and should be interpreted with caution.

Triangles (a) indicate SA3s where only rates are published. The numbers of services are not published for confidentiality reasons. For further detail about the methods used, please refer to the Technical Supplement.

Resources

- Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand, Noninvasive Coronary Artery Imaging: Current clinical applications¹⁶
- Therapeutic Guidelines: Cardiovascular⁴⁴ (for electronic version, visit https://tgldcdp.tg.org. au/etgcomplete)
- Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists, Choosing Wisely recommendation 2: Avoid ordering cardiac stress testing for asymptomatic patients prior to undergoing low to intermediate risk non-cardiac surgery⁴⁰
- Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, Choosing Wisely recommendation 4: Don't screen asymptomatic, low-risk patients (<10% absolute 5-year CV risk) using ECG, stress test, coronary artery calcium score, or carotid artery ultrasound³⁹
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care, National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards (2nd edition)⁴⁵
- Wardliparingga Aboriginal Research Unit of the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards User Guide for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health⁴⁶

Australian initiatives

The information in this chapter will complement work already under way to improve the appropriate use of cardiac investigations in Australia. At a national level, this work includes:

- Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists and Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, Choosing Wisely recommendations^{39,40}
- MBS Review Taskforce Cardiac Services Clinical Committee, review including recommendations about cardiac tests¹⁰
- Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2017 Report.⁶

Many state and territory initiatives are also in place, including:

- Implementation of the Victorian cardiac services plan – Design, Service and Infrastructure Plan for Victoria's Cardiac System⁴⁷
- The New South Wales Leading Better Value Care Program, which focuses on delivering better care for patients using a patient experience and health outcomes approach.⁴⁸

References

- 1. Federal Register of Legislation: Health Insurance (Diagnostic Imaging Services Table) Regulations 2018 [Internet]. Canberra: Australian Government; 2018 [updated 2018 Jun 21; cited 2018 Aug 24]. Available from: www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00858
- 2. Askew JW, Chareonthaitawee P, Arruda-Olson AM. Selecting the optimal cardiac stress test [Internet]. UpToDate; 2017 [updated 2017 Oct 4; cited 2018 Jan 18]. Available from: www.uptodate.com/contents/selecting-the-optimal-cardiac-stress-test
- Australian Institue of Health and Welfare. Cardiovascular disease snapshot [Internet]. Canberra: AIHW; 2018 [updated 2018 Jul 24; cited 2018 Aug 27]. (AIHW Cat. No. CVD 83.) Available from: www.aihw.gov.au/reports/heart-stroke-vascular-disease/cardiovascular-health-compendium/contents/how-many-australians-have-cardiovascular-disease
- 4. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Australia 2011. Canberra: AIHW; 2016. (AIHW Cat. No. BOD 4; Australian Burden of Disease Study Series No. 3.)
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Burden of Disease Study: impact and causes of illness and death in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people 2011. Canberra: AIHW; 2016. (AIHW Cat. No. BOD 7; Australian Burden of Disease Study Series No. 6.)
- Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2017 report. Canberra: AHMAC; 2017. www.pmc.gov.au/sites/default/files/publications/2017-health-performance-framework-report_1.pdf (accessed Nov 2017).
- 3. Garbi M, Habib G, Plein S, Neglia D, Kitsiou A, Donal E, et al. Appropriateness criteria for cardiovascular imaging use in clinical practice: a position statement of the ESC/EACVI taskforce. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15(5):477–82.
- Bonow RO. 2009 ASNC keynote lecture: measuring cost, cost-effectiveness, and quality in cardiovascular imaging. J Nucl Cardiol 2010;17(3):362–9.
 Fonseca R, Otahal P, Wiggins N, Marwick T. Growth and geographical variation in the use of cardiac imaging in Australia. Intern Med J 2015;45(11): 1115–27.
- 10. Medicare Benefits Schedule Review Taskforce. Report from the Cardiac Services Clinical Committee. Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health; 2017.
- 11. Fonseca R, Jose K, Marwick TH. Understanding decision-making in cardiac imaging: determinants of appropriate use. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2018;19(3):262–8.
- 12. Medical Board of Australia. Statistics: registration data [Internet]. Medical Board of Australia; 2018 [updated 2019 Sep 20; cited 2018 Sep 26]. Available from: www.medicalboard.gov.au/News/Statistics.aspx
- 13. National Vascular Disease Prevention Alliance. Guidelines for the management of absolute cardiovascular disease risk. Melbourne: National Stroke Foundation; 2012.
- 14. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, Douglas PS, Hendel RC, Kramer CM, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63(4):380–406.
- Hamilton-Craig C, Chan J. The clinical utility of new cardiac imaging modalities in Australasian clinical practice. Med J Aust 2016;205(3):134–9.
 Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand. Noninvasive coronary artery imaging: current clinical applications. Sydney: CSANZ; 2010.
- www.csanz.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/Nonivasive_Coronary_Artery_Imaging.pdf (accessed Jan 2018).
- 17. Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine. Supplementary submission Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee inquiry: availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia. Canberra: Parliament of Australia; 2017.
- 18. NSW Health. Submission Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee inquiry: availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia. Canberra: Parliament of Australia; 2017.
- 19. Deloitte Access Economics. Mind the gap: consideration of up-front gap only payment model in diagnostic imaging. Melbourne: Australian Diagnostic Imaging Association; 2016.
- 20. Thompson SC, Haynes E, Woods JA, Bessarab DC, Dimer LA, Wood MM, et al. Improving cardiovascular outcomes among Aboriginal Australians: lessons from research for primary care. SAGE Open Med 2016;4:2050312116681224.
- 21. Gebker R, Schneeweis C. Stress testing in the obese: are we finally getting the bigger picture? JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7(5):473–5.
- 22. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Cardiovascular disease, diabetes and chronic kidney disease Australian facts: risk factors. Canberra: AIHW; 2015:1,2,38–42. (AIHW Cat. No. CDK 004; Cardiovascular Disease, Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease Series No. 4.)
- 23. Banks E, Crouch SR, Korda RJ, Stavreski B, Page K, Thurber KA, et al. Absolute risk of cardiovascular disease events, and blood pressure- and lipid-lowering therapy in Australia. Med J Aust 2016;204(8):320.
- 24. Gray V, Holman CD. Deaths and premature loss of life caused by overweight and obesity in Australia in 2011–2050: benefits from different intervention scenarios. Report for the Australian Preventative Health Taskforce. Perth: University of Western Australia; 2009.
- 25. Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee. Availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia. Canberra: Australian Government; 2018.
- 26. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Allied health workforce 2012. Canberra: AIHW; 2013. (AIHW Cat. No. HWL 51; National Health Workforce Series No. 5.)
- 27. Australian Government Department of Jobs and Small Business. Historical list of skills shortages in Australia. Canberra: DJSB; 2018.
- Australasian Sonographers Association. Submission Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee inquiry: availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia. Canberra: Parliament of Australia; 2018.
- 29. Australasian Society for Ultrasound in Medicine. Submission Senate Community Affairs Reference Committee inquiry: availability and accessibility of diagnostic imaging equipment around Australia. Canberra: Parliament of Australia; 2017.
- 30. Australian Government Department of Health. National framework for health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children and families. Canberra: DoH; 2016.
- Brindis RG, Douglas PS, Hendel RC, Peterson ED, Wolk MJ, Allen JM, et al. ACCF/ASNC appropriateness criteria for single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging (SPECT MPI): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Quality Strategic Directions Committee Appropriateness Criteria Working Group and the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology endorsed by the American Heart Association. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46(8):1587–605.
- 32. Hendel RC, Patel MR, Kramer CM, Poon M, Hendel RC, Carr JC, et al. ACCF/ACR/SCCT/SCMR/ASNC/NASCI/SCAI/SIR 2006 appropriateness criteria for cardiac computed tomography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Quality Strategic Directions Committee Appropriateness Criteria Working Group, American College of Radiology, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, North American Society for Cardiac Imaging, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Interventional Radiology. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48(7):1475–97.

- 33. Douglas PS, Khandheria B, Stainback RF, Weissman NJ, Peterson ED, Hendel RC, et al. ACCF/ASE/ACEP/AHA/ASNC/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2008 appropriateness criteria for stress echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriateness Criteria Task Force, American Society of Echocardiography, American College of Emergency Physicians, American Heart Association, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society and the Society of Critical Care Medicine. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51(11):1127–47.
- 34. Garbi M, Edvardsen T, Bax J, Petersen SE, McDonagh T, Filippatos G, et al. EACVI appropriateness criteria for the use of cardiovascular imaging in heart failure derived from European National Imaging Societies voting. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;17(7):711–21.
- United States Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for cardiovascular disease risk with electrocardiography: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. JAMA 2018;319(22):2308–14.
- Fonseca R, Negishi K, Marwick TH. What is the evidence status of appropriate use criteria (AUC)? Insight from a matching exercise with the guidelines for echocardiography. Intern Med J 2015;45(8):864–9.
- 37. Heart Foundation. Clinical information: absolute risk [Internet]. Heart Foundation; 2018 [cited 2018 Aug 24].
- Available from: www.heartfoundation.org.au/for-professionals/clinical-information/absolute-risk
- 38. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Section 8.1 Assessment of absolute cardiovascular risk. In: Guidelines for preventive activities in general practice. 9th ed [Internet]. Melbourne: RACGP; 2016 [cited 2018 Aug 24]. Available from: https://www.racgp.org.au/clinical-resources/clinical-guidelines/key-racgp-guidelines/view-all-racgp-guidelines/red-book/prevention-of-vascular-and-metabolic-disease/assessment-of-absolute-cardiovascular-risk
- Choosing Wisely Australia. The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners: tests, treatments and procedures clinicians and consumers should question – recommendation 4 [Internet]. Sydney: NPS MedicineWise; 2015 [updated 2015 Apr 22; cited 2018 Jan 15]. Available from: www.choosingwisely.org.au/recommendations/racgp
- 40. Choosing Wisely Australia. Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists: tests, treatments and procedures clinicians and consumers should question – recommendation 2 [Internet]. Sydney: NPS MedicineWise; 2017 [updated 2017 Mar 17; cited 2018 Jan 10]. Available from: www.choosingwisely.org.au/recommendations/anzca
- 41. Australian Digital Health Agency. Benefits [Internet]. Canberra: DHA; 2018 [cited 2018 Aug 27].
- Available from: www.digitalhealth.gov.au/get-started-with-digital-health/benefits
- 42. Hess EP, Hollander JE, Schaffer JT, Kline JA, Torres CA, Diercks DB, et al. Shared decision making in patients with low risk chest pain: prospective randomized pragmatic trial. BMJ 2016;355:i6165.
- 43. Tran DM, Forbes MP. Addressing cost of unwarranted medical care in the medical curriculum. Aust Health Rev 2017;41(2):151–3.
- 44. Therapeutic Guidelines. Therapeutic guidelines: cardiovascular. Version 7. Melbourne: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited; 2018.
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards. 2nd ed. Sydney: ACSQHC; 2017.
 Wardliparingga Aboriginal Research Unit of the South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute. National Safety and Quality Health Service Standards user guide for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health. Sydney: ACSQHC; 2017. www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/
- National-Safety-and-Quality-Health-Service-Standards-User-Guide-for-Aboriginal-and-Torres-Strait-Islander-Health.pdf (accessed Jan 2018).
 Victorian Department of Health and Human Services. Design, service and infrastructure plan for Victoria's cardiac system. Melbourne: DHHS; 2016. www2.health.vic.gov.au/hospitals-and-health-services/health-system-design-planning/cardiac-design-service-and-infrastructure-plan (accessed Aug 2018).
- Agency for Clinical Innovation. Leading better value care [Internet]. Sydney: Clinical Excellence Commission, NSW Ministry of Health; 2018 [cited 2018 Aug 27]. Available from: www.eih.health.nsw.gov.au/lbvc